

NHIDCL/Procurement/Uttarakhand/2024/

Date: 18.02.2026

To,

All Bidders,

Sub: Consultancy services for preparation of Detailed Project Report and pre-construction activities in respect of construction of greenfield alignment/ widening of existing carriageway to 2 lane with paved shoulder configuration along with mitigation measures of vulnerable sinking / sliding zones of section for Bhimtal to Almora via Khutani, Ramgarh, Kwarab, Peora and Vishvanath in the State of Uttarakhand-2nd call -**Result of Technical Evaluation- Reg.**

Ref: RFP no. NHIDCL/HQ/Uttarakhand/DPR/ Bhimtal to Almora /2025 dt. 07.11.2025

Tender ID: 2025_NHIDC_884673_1

Please refer to your bid submitted for the subject cited above. Following is the result of technical evaluation:

Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals							
Sr. No.	Name of the Firm	Firm's relevant experience	Material testing, survey & investigation, equipment and software	Qualification and Relevant experience of the proposed key personnel	Total marks	Responsiveness	Remarks
	Maximum Marks	50	10	40	100		
1.	M/s Almondz Global Infra Consultant Limited in Association with M/s C and E Consultancy Private Limited	38.00	6.50	35.60	80.10	Non-Responsive	As per clause 1.8.2, 3.1.3, 5.1 (vii) & 3.2.4 (ii) of RFP
2.	M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited in Association with M/s CADD	44.50	6.50	36.58	87.58	Non-Responsive	As per clause 1.8.2, 3.1.3 & 5.1 (vii) of RFP.

	Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd.						
3.	M/s K&J Projects Private Limited	30.00	6.50	33.72	70.22	Non-Responsive	As per clause 3.1.3, 5.1 (vii) & 5.2 of RFP.
4.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory Pvt. Ltd.	27.00	6.50	29.40	62.90	Non-Responsive	As per clause 1.8.2 of Lol, 12.1 (i) of Data Sheet & 5.2 of RFP.
5.	M/s CivilMantra Infracon Limited in JV with M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with M/s Earl Techno Private Limited	43.50	4.50	30.60	78.60	Non-Responsive	As per clause 1.8.2 of Lol, 12.1 (ii) of Data Sheet & 5.2 of RFP.

2. The bidders are requested to submit their representation, if any, within 07 days as per Cl. 12.3 of Data Sheet of RFP (i.e., on or before 25.02.2026) from the date of uploading of result.


8/02/2026

Ashish Gupta
Dy. General Manager (Tech.)
Uttarakhand Geographical Division

02/2

Annexure

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
1.	M/s Almondz Global Infra Consultant Limited in Association with M/s C and E Consultancy Private Limited	<p>(i) The bidder has not mentioned delineation of duties/responsibility of the Lead/Associate members in the MoU as required under Cl 1.8.2 of Lol of RFP.</p> <p>(ii) Integrity Pact is not signed by the Associate Member.</p> <p>(iii) Local Content Certificate: Lead member has submitted local content certificate from statutory auditor in which Project name/Tender ID is not mentioned and Associate member has not submitted local content certificate from statutory auditor.</p> <p>(iv) Technical Capacity criteria is not fulfilled: as only 16 highway professionals are assessed to be working with the firm. Submitted Form-26AS are having details either (i) for less than one year with the respective firms [which is required as shown in sample illustration under clause 3.1.3 (v)] or (ii) for period 6 months prior to the bid due date.</p> <p>(v) Firm is not having adequate experience in Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(vi) Firm is not having adequate experience in DPR/FS for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40% / 60% of indicative length in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(vii) Firm has claimed 10 projects, as such only one project is considered, remaining nine are not considered either the project is not having 200 mtr bridge or projects claimed are of supervision in nature or some projects are executed as Associate which are not meeting the requirement of 200 mtr length or projects are not executed in DPR nature.</p> <p>(viii) 11 out of 104 highway professionals are found to be working with the firm as per INFRACON details and 26AS. Remaining Professional details are beyond 6 months prior the bid due date as such current employment is not certified.</p> <p>(ix) Required testing facilities (Field Investigation, and Office Equipment & Software) are not readily identifiable from the list enclosed in the technical bid.</p> <p>(x) Proposed Team Leader cum Sr. Highway Engineer is not having essential requirement of having Mandatory training course on "Preparation of Feasibility Study and DPR for Highway Projects" conducted by Indian Academy of Highway Engineers (IAHE) or any other institute specifically approved by MoRTH for minimum 6 days duration.</p> <p>(xi) Proposed Geologist is not having minimum Experience in PREPARATION OF DPR or Feasibility report of tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro). Also, KP is not having minimum Experience in SIMILAR CAPACITY in tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro) and in highway projects in hilly/mountainous terrain involving specialized slope protection work.</p>



(1)

2.	M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited in Association with M/s CADD Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	<p>(i) The bidder has not mentioned delineation of duties/responsibility of the Lead/Associate members in the MoU as required under CI 1.8.2 of Lol of RFP.</p> <p>(ii) Local Content Certificate: Lead and Associate members have not submitted local content certificate from statutory auditor in which Project name/Tender ID is not mentioned.</p> <p>(iii) Technical Capacity criteria is not fulfilled: as only 24 highway professionals are assessed to be working with the firm. Submitted Form-26AS are having details either (i) for less than one year with the respective firms [which is required as shown in sample illustration under clause 3.1.3 (v)] or (ii) for period 6 months prior to the bid due date.</p> <p>(iv) Firm is not having adequate experience in Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(v) 20 out of 35 highway professionals are found to be working with the firm as per INFRACON details and 26AS. Remaining Professional details are beyond 6 months prior the bid due date as such current employment is not certified.</p> <p>(vi) Required testing facilities (Field Investigation, and Office Equipment & Software) are not readily identifiable from the list enclosed in the technical bid.</p> <p>(vii) Proposed Team leader cum Sr. Highway Engineer is having adequate Experience in Highway Projects-Experience in Planning, project preparation and design of Highway Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways) for claimed marks.</p> <p>(viii) Proposed Sr. Bridge Structural Engineer is not having post-graduation in Construction Engineering instead requirement is for Structural Engineering/ Foundation Engineering/Hydraulics (AICTE Approved).</p> <p>(ix) Proposed Geologist is not having adequate experience in tunnel construction/construction supervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro) for claimed marks. Also, KP is not having minimum required experience in YEARS IN PREPARATION OF DPR OR FEASIBILITY REPORT OF TUNNEL PROJECTS (Road/Rail/Metro). Further, KP is not having adequate experience in similar capacity in HIGHWAY PROJECTS in hilly/mountainous terrain involving specialized slope protection work.</p>
3.	M/s K&J Projects Private Limited	<p>(i) Local Content Certificate; Statutory Auditor certificate not submitted with project name/tender ID.</p> <p>(ii) Technical Capacity criteria is not fulfilled: as no highway professionals are assessed to be working with the firm. Submitted Form-26AS are having details either (i) for less than one year with the respective firms [which is required as shown in sample illustration under clause 3.1.3 (v)] or (ii) for period 6 months prior to the bid due date.</p> <p>(iii) Firm is not having adequate experience in Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(iv) Firm is not having adequate experience in DPR/FS for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40% / 60% of indicative length in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(v) Firm is not having adequate annual average turnover in last five years for claimed marks.</p>

(ii)

		<p>(vi) 05 out of 36 highway professionals are found to be working with the firm as per INFRACON details and 26AS. Remaining Professional details are beyond 6 months prior the bid due date as such current employment is not certified.</p> <p>(vii) Required testing facilities (Field Investigation, and Office Equipment & Software) are not readily identifiable from the list enclosed in the technical bid.</p> <p>(viii) Proposed Sr. Bridge Structural Engineer is not having adequate experience as SENIOR BRIDGE ENGINEER or SIMILAR CAPACITY in HIGHWAY DESIGN CONSULTANCY PROJECTS (2/4/ laning of NH/SH/Expressways) involving design of Major Bridges (minimum 2 nos. of length more than 200m).</p> <p>(ix) Proposed Geologist is not having adequate Experience in YEARS in design and or construction/ construction supervision in professional experience in handling tunnel projects (ROAD/RAIL/METRO). Further, KP is not having adequate experience in YEARS in tunnel construction/construction supervision projects (ROAD/RAIL/METRO) for claimed marks. Also, KP is not having minimum required Experience in Preparation of DPR or FEASIBILITY REPORT of Tunnel projects (ROAD/RAIL/METRO). The proposed KP is also not having minimum required experience in SIMILAR CAPACITY in tunnel projects (ROAD/RAIL/METRO) and experience in SIMILAR CAPACITY in HIGHWAY PROJECTS in hilly/mountainous terrain involving specialized slope protection work.</p>
4.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory Pvt. Ltd.	<p>(i) The bidder has not mentioned delineation of duties/responsibility of the Lead/Associate members in the MoU as required under CI 1.8.2 of Lol of RFP & Supporting document (Board Resolution etc.) not submitted in technical bid, who has signed the Power of attorney.</p> <p>(ii) Integrity Pact is not signed by the Associate Member.</p> <p>(iii) Local Content Certificate: Lead and Associate member have submitted local content certificate from statutory auditor in which Project name/Tender ID is not mentioned.</p> <p>(iv) The bid claims 11 projects having experience of DPR preparation/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report. One project (at pg. no 1000) is considered for evaluation and other ten are not considered for reasons having either projects are not executed in hilly/mountainous terrain or are completed before 7 years from the bid due date of the instant tender. Also, some DPR projects are completed upto feasibility report only, which are not considered. Accordingly, the experience of firm in preparation of DPR/Feasibility Study for projects of 2/4/6 laning in hilly/mountainous terrain comes to 40 Km, which is less than required minimum aggregate length of 90 km.</p> <p>(v) Firm is not having adequate experience in Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(vi) Firm is not having adequate experience in DPR/FS for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40% / 60% of indicative length in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(vii) Firm is not having minimum required experience in DPR of Bridge having length more than 200 m as claimed projects are either completed upto feasibility stage or are completed before 7 years of bid due date.</p>



		<p>(viii) Firm is not having adequate annual average turnover in last five years for claimed marks.</p> <p>(ix) 16 out of 43 highway professionals are found to be working with the firm as per INFRACON details and 26AS. Remaining Professional details are beyond 6 months prior the bid due date as such current employment is not certified.</p> <p>(x) Required testing facilities (Field Investigation, and Office Equipment & Software) are not readily identifiable from the list enclosed in the technical bid.</p> <p>(xi) Proposed Geologist has done Graduation in Civil Engineering, whereas, requirement of RFP is for Geology or equivalent. Hence, the same is not considered for evaluation. Also, KP is not having adequate experience in TUNNEL construction/construction supervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro). Further, KP is not having minimum required Experience in SIMILAR CAPACITY in tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro) and not having minimum required experience in SIMILAR CAPACITY in highway projects in hilly/mountainous terrain involving specialized slope protection work.</p>
5.	M/s CivilMantra Infracon Limited in JV with M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with M/s Earl Techno Private Limited	<p>(i) The bidder has not mentioned delineation of duties/responsibility of the JV/Associate members in the MoU as required under CI 1.8.2 of Lol of RFP.</p> <p>(ii) Local Content Certificate: All the JV & Associate members have not submitted local content certificate from statutory auditor in which Project name/Tender ID is not mentioned.</p> <p>(iii) The bid (pertaining to other member) claims 10 projects having experience of DPR preparation/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report. All these projects are not considered as their experience certificates attached in the technical bid do not mention that they were executed in hilly/mountainous terrain.</p> <p>(iv) Firm is not having adequate experience in Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(v) Firm is not having adequate experience in DPR/FS for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40% / 60% of indicative length in hilly terrain required for claimed marks.</p> <p>(vi) Quality of Past Performance marks are allotted as per the details given in self-certification.</p> <p>(vii) Required testing facilities (Field Investigation, and Office Equipment & Software) are not readily identifiable from the list enclosed in the technical bid. Firm is also not having experience in digitization of cadastral maps for land surveys as such area (Ha) details cannot be ascertained from the certificates submitted in the technical bid.</p> <p>(viii) Proposed Sr. Bridge Structural Engineer is not having adequate Total Professional Experience in YEARS. Also, not having adequate experience in PROJECT PREPARATION AND DESIGN of bridge projects in YEARS for claimed marks.</p> <p>(ix) Proposed Material cum Geotechnical Engineer is not having adequate Experience in Highway Projects – In Similar Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways) in design and or Construction/ Construction Supervision in YEARS for claimed marks.</p>

		(x) Proposed Geologist has not uploaded the degree of Geology in graduation and post-graduation. Further, KP is not having adequate Experience in tunnel construction/construction supervision projects (Road/Rail/Metro) in YEARS and not having minimum required Experience in preparation of DPR or FEASIBILITY REPORT of tunnel projects (Road/Rail/Metro) in YEARS for claimed marks.
--	--	--

SW

←

(v)

